## Reasons for Call in

- 1. Will larger refuse bins be provided if requested and will there be a charge to residents?
  - 2. (3.21 and 3.32) If Option 7 is implemented, giving £2.8 m of savings, a sum of £500k is noted as being re-invested, and still providing a saving of £2m. Where has the other £300k gone?
  - 3. (3.26 and 3.27) If the majority of consultation responses favour the status quo, what option will be implemented?
  - 4. (9.3 and 9.4)To allow low income residents to make an informed decision, more detail is required on the 'give back' offers when the consultation is published. Apart from offers such as home compost bins, how can we assist these families?
  - 5. (3.35) It would have been useful to have a draft of the questions as part of this report.
  - 6. (3.41) Will the 10 week consultation period run over the Xmas and New Year holiday? Unlikely to gain much response over this period.
  - 7. (6.1.6) It would be helpful to see the projected increased costs presented in the report.
  - 8. (3.26 and 3.27) If the majority of consultation responses favour the status quo, what option will be implemented?
  - 9. (6.2.2, 6.2.3 and 6.2.4) How much will the consultation process cost? It could be seen as a waste of resources if the preferred option has been decided upon.
  - 10.(13) The report identifies that there will be a number of public health implications as a result of any changes to service provision. How will the public health implications be monitored?
  - 11. The Mayor's Environment Strategy does say about the delivery of a weekly food kerbside collection, however those boroughs that would have to change provision had the option of speaking to the Deputy Mayor for Environment for discussion on how the GLA could help with this yet this decision does not include any mention of negotiations taking place. Why did we not ask for them to pay for consultants and how much did our consultants Eunomia Research & Consulting Ltd cost?
  - 12.Barnet Council has challenged the Mayor on his power of direction over food waste due to the way boroughs within the NLWA dispose of waste and the renewable energy that it creates. Why have we not given the costs involved argued that we indeed already collect food and just pledge to increase the tonnage recycled?
  - 13. Within the Equalities Impact Assessment, it is confirmed that the aim of the consultation is to 'Improve access to, or delivery of the service.' How will this be achieved?

(4)	
(1)	Reason why decision is being called in:
	See attached
(2)	Outline of proposed alternative action:
28	IFER BACK TO CABINET MEMBER
(3)	Do you believe the decision is outside the policy framework?
	No
(4)	If <b>Yes</b> , give reasons: n/a
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

FOR DST USE ONLY:

Checked by Proper Officer for validation – Legelleuce Source States Date:

Jewent Chambers 12/10/18